

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,544	04/14/2004	Wong-Sang Song	253/007 DIV	4407
27849 7590 11/09/2007 LEE & MORSE, P.C. 3141 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			QUACH, TUAN N	
SUITE 500 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2826	
		,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		11/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/823,544	SONG ET AL.				
merview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · ·	Tuan Quach	2826				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Tuan Quach</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Jay Beale</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>05 November 2007</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,18 and 44-46</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lin '357 and Besser et al.</u>						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\boxtimes$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussions were made regarding the 112 first and second paragraph rejections and objection to the oath, applicant relying upon support in Fig. 1 and paragraph [0034]. Regarding the 103 rejections discussions on the Lin '357 and Besser et al. references were made. Applicant will file response with full arguments for consideration.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims</u>						
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
• •		•				
		14				
Tuan Quach Primary Examiner						
		· y Lacinite				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required				